

#### 1. CALL TO ORDER

President Wallace called the regular meeting of January 16, 2018 of the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Bartlett to order on the above date at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers.

#### ROLL CALL

PRESENT: Trustee Camerer, Carbonaro, Deyne, Gabrenya, Hopkins, Reinke and

**President Wallace** 

ABSENT: None

<u>ALSO PRESENT:</u> Assistant Village Administrator Scott Skrycki, Finance Director Todd Dowden, Public Works Director Dan Dinges, Public Works Engineer Bob Allen, Community Development Director Jim Plonczynski, Building Director Brian Goralski, Head Golf Professional Phil Lenz, Chief Patrick Ullrich, Deputy Chief Geoff Pretkelis, Village Attorney Bryan Mraz and Village Clerk Lorna Giless.

- 3. INVOCATION Michael Fuelling, Lead Pastor at The Village Church of Bartlett gave the invocation.
- 4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

#### CONSENT AGENDA

President Wallace stated that all items marked with an asterisk on the Agenda are considered to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. He further stated that there will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Board member so requests, in which event, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered at the appropriate point on the Agenda. He asked if there were any items a Board member wished to remove from the Consent Agenda, or any items a Board member wished to add to the Consent Agenda.

No additional items were added to the Consent Agenda.

Trustee Hopkins moved to approve the Consent Agenda, and all items designated to be approved by consent therein as amended, and that motion was seconded by Trustee Carbonaro.



ROLL CALL VOTE TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA AND CONSENT ITEMS THEREIN

AYES: Trustees Camerer, Carbonaro, Deyne, Gabrenya, Hopkins, Reinke

NAYS: None ABSENT: None MOTION CARRIED

6. MINUTES – Covered and approved under the Consent Agenda.

7. BILL LIST – Covered and approved under the Consent Agenda.

#### TREASURER'S REPORT

Village Treasurer/Finance Director Todd Dowden stated that this was the November Treasurer's Report. Motor Fuel Tax distribution through October, 2017 totaled \$91,053. That is down slightly \$436 from the prior year but we are on pace with last year. He then presented the Municipal Sales Tax Report through September, 2017, and stated it totaled \$208,760 which is up \$2,555 from the prior year or 1.24% which is pretty close to what they budgeted.

Trustee Reinke stated that the golf program is up on the income side.

Mr. Dowden stated that golf was doing well and food and beverage is also up from the prior year.

#### 9. PRESIDENT'S REPORT

President Wallace asked Sergeant Kyle Rybaski to proceed.

Sergeant Kyle Rybaski stated that it is always exciting when they can put Bartlett on the map. The Bartlett Police Department took second place out of 48 cities in the country. This achievement was definitely a community effort. National Night Out allows them to foster invaluable partnerships with the residents, businesses and organizations in the community. The Bartlett Police Department thanks the Village Board, Trustees and Mayor Wallace for their continued support and generosity to put on these successful events that impact the community in such a unique level. He thanked each Board member as well as the staff for their unique attributes in making this event so successful. Special thanks were also given to the Bartlett Park District, Bartlett Fire Protection District, Bartlett Hills Golf Club, Lions Club, Rotary and all the vendors that made this event possible and unlike any other town around us. He thanked the crime prevention team and officers Vicky Anderson, Mireya Flores and Tracey Dendinger who truly worked hard all year long to assure that each family had an amazing experience. It is their hard work and dedication



that continues to carry on this tradition in which we see the community come together in solidarity. These officers are the masterminds behind the scenes and they truly represent the "Bartlett Way". This was the 24<sup>th</sup> National Night Out and that makes next year the big 25. It will kick-off on August 3 and end on August 7 and he hoped to see everyone there. He presented the 2nd place plaque to Mayor Wallace and the Board.

- 10. QUESTION/ANSWER: PRESIDENT & TRUSTEES None
- 11. TOWN HALL

#### Steve Hendricksen, 1623 Fairfax Lane

Mr. Hendricksen spoke about the Jacaranda preliminary zoning. He stated that he attended the December Zoning Board of Appeals meeting with concerns about the proposed zoning for the area around Hawk Hollow School. He stated that he was not against U-46 selling and developing the land but had major concerns about traffic flow at pickup and drop off. As it is now, with only one way in/out of the school via Jacaranda Drive, traffic can extend almost to Gerber Road as parents wait to pick up their children. Extending Winston to Penny Lane would then give three routes for cars to enter the school which he is afraid would cause chaos. Someone would need to be directing traffic in and out of the school parking lot and also a couple of crossing guards. He read an article that the police department was reviewing the number of crossing guards they employ and possibly reduce them due to costs. Parking is also a huge issue at Hawk Hollow, the larger events could overwhelm the streets around the school. There would need to be signage to limit the parking on Jacaranda. At the Zoning meeting he heard that there was no plan to widen Jacaranda and he believed in order to pull off development of the area, the extended Winston would need to be widened into a three lane road to accommodate both two way traffic as well as a lane for parking much like Centennial School. He also asked for a one way only route between the hours of pickup and drop off be considered to help limit the congestion by preventing the left entrance into the parking lot. He would also request that the strict parking be limited to the residents during drop off and pick up. Cars on the street make it harder to see the little pedestrians. At the December Zoning meeting he learned of a plan to extend Fair Oaks north and asked that any consideration of extending it be cancelled. It would create a messy intersection at Jacaranda and Gerber Roads and run right next to the playground in which the residents are trying to raise \$35,000 to renovate. He also had concerns of the traffic patterns on Winston and Hawk Hollow would impact the area in general. He asked the Village to vote "no" on this current proposal and meet again to perform a traffic study to review the impact these traffic issues could create while also voting to cancel any extension of Fair Oaks Road northward.

#### Rob Carney, 29W470 Sunset Ridge Drive

Mr. Carney spoke about the Jacaranda project. He stated that typically when a developer comes in they are required to provide open space for parks and playgrounds. Schrader



Park is located right there so he would assume they do not have to provide any open space. He stated that he was the Chairman for the playground committee and they are trying to raise about \$35,000 to replace very poor equipment that is injuring children. He was going to reach out to the developer and ask for a monetary donation in their efforts to provide a new park for the proposed 15 new families that they would welcome to Hawk Hollow Elementary School.

#### Justin Kline, 245 Hawk Hollow

Mr. Kline stated that he was there to discuss his opposition to the Jacaranda development for several reasons. Winston Lane that is currently a dead-end is a path that his kids and many others use to get to school safely. Extending that into a street would raise some safety issues. During drop off and pick up at Hawk Hollow School it is extraordinarily congested and parking at school events is limited. He stated that he lives on Hawk Hollow and his backyard that is currently a beautiful field surrounded by a forest preserve and wetlands will be aesthetically displeasing. A lot of the kids in the neighborhood currently use that field for football and soccer practices. For those reasons they strongly opposed the development of that property.

### John Eallonardo, Frederick Quinn Company

Mr. Eallonardo stated that he is the construction manager for the construction of the new Police Department. Construction continues and they continue to make good progress on the inside of the building. They have a roof installed so the inside of the building is dried in. They are in the process of putting in all the mechanical, electrical, plumbing, metal stud partitions and interior build-out of the building. They continue to make good progress and they are still on schedule to turn the first portion of the project over to the police department in early May with the completion of the entire project before the end of 2018.

#### 12. STANDING COMMITTEE REPORTS:

#### A. PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE, CHAIRMAN HOPKINS

Trustee Hopkins presented Ordinance 2018-04, an Ordinance Approving of a Preliminary/Final PUD Plan, Final Plat of Subdivision, Rezoning from the SR-2 PUD Zoning District to the P-1 Zoning District (Lots 16 and 22), Granting a Special Use Permit for a Planned Unit Development, and an Extension of Time to Commence Construction of the Jacaranda PUD and Subdivision and stated that based on some of the resident comments, he moved this back to Committee for further review and that motion was seconded by Trustee Gabrenya.

President Wallace stated that he was not in favor of that.

Attorney Bryan Mraz stated that the Board could do that or any Trustee without a second has the ability refer a matter to committee. He stated that some history regarding this



property is important to consider. It was part of a settlement agreement of a lawsuit filed by the owner of the former landscape nursery on this site. The subdivision and the lot layout were approved by a consent decree that the Village, Mr. Brown and the court entered. This was before the school was carved out. U-46 bought the entire nursery site and carved out the school parcel which is located in the center of the nursery property and the site plan for the school was approved by the Village. The proposed subdivision into lots on the remaining property is consistent with the consent decree. A prior Village Board approved of the subdivision of the balance of the property almost identical to the Plat of Subdivision before you for approval, but that plat of subdivision was never recorded. U-46 did not build it within the timeframe allowed under the Village's ordinances for a Planned Unit Development ("PUD") that was approved by the Village for this property. The school district has to go through a public auction to sell their property and unfortunately had issues with the developer who was the low bidder and who defaulted on its contract with the School District. The property sat vacant for a few years and U-46 had issues with the wetlands which have been resolved. What is before the Board right now is essentially the same PUD plan (except the School Site) that was approved as part of the consent decree, which was subsequently approved by the Village after the school site was carved out of it and given site plan approval for the school. There is very little difference between what you have before you and what was approved previously by a prior Village Board. He spoke about the dedicated roadway and stated that it was part of the original plan. It is simply a dedication of right-of-way and was the result of a traffic study done by Pavia Marting. Each of the developers that came into that area along that alignment for Fair Oaks were required to dedicate land in the hopes that as the properties assembled, eventually a new road could be built. Currently, there is nothing in the budget to build that road. At some point in time, if you are not going to ever use it for a road, you can vacate the dedicated right-of-way. When you vacate a road, it goes half to the owner on one side of the right-of-way and half to the owner on the other side of the right-of-way. Here it would go either to U-46, or the homeowners association, or the future owner of Lot 1. There is nothing in this plan with the dedication of road right-of-way that mandates when the road be built. The Board would ultimately have to approve the financing to build that road. By approving this plan, it does not lock in the road. Some of the roads that get built as part of this subdivision that don't exist but will serve the development would provide some additional ingress and egress from the school site that would help some of the traffic issues. This is a plan that has been approved for years and years. The Board has the ability to postpone it and look at it in Committee. At some point, however, if the Board decides it never intends to build a road, it should be vacated along with the other dedications along this alignment, because the right-of-way was dedicated for road purposes.

Trustee Camerer stated that he didn't know what would really change. It has already been to the Plan Commission and was approved.



Trustee Hopkins stated that there could be some modifications like maybe a separate drop-off and pickup lane, signage on the road. He thought there were some tweaks that could be made to this plan before it is approved.

Trustee Carbonaro stated that the drop off area would be on U-46 property and he didn't know if they had any say so on this matter.

Trustee Hopkins stated that they do if they want the subdivision approved.

Trustee Carbonaro asked what U-46 has to do with the subdivision.

Attorney Mraz stated that the school site is within the overall property, however, the site plan for the school was approved so the layout of the school and how they move traffic on the school site and through the parking lots built on the school site is not really part of this. The school site is being rezoned to the P-1 Zoning District and it is arguably within the PUD Plan, but the drives and parking lots are already built per the approved site plan. I believe the additional access points for the school parking lot were on that site plan, but in any event were on the previously approved and expired PUD Plan and on the PUD Plan before you. The PUD Plan really deals with the rest of the site. However, if there is an easy, cheap fix that will satisfy everyone, it might be the way to go.

Trustee Gabrenya stated that in 2001 when it was approved, was Hawk Hollow Drive built?

President Wallace stated "yes". This subdivision has been planned out for quite some time. If they want to send this back to Committee, you will have to do it on your own because he is not calling a Roll Call to send it back to Committee.

Attorney Mraz stated that any Board member has the ability to send it back to Committee on their own. Trustee Hopkins is asking for a Roll Call just to see if there is a consensus.

Trustee Hopkins moved to send the Jacaranda agenda item to Committee for further review and was seconded by Trustee Gabrenya

ROLL CALL VOTE TO SEND THIS AGENDA ITEM TO COMMITTEE FOR FURTHER REVIEW

AYES: Trustees Gabrenya, Hopkins

NAYS: Trustees Camerer, Carbonaro, Deyne, Reinke

ABSENT: None MOTION FAILED



Trustee Hopkins moved to approve Ordinance 2018-04, an Ordinance Approving of a Preliminary/Final PUD Plan, Final Plat of Subdivision, Rezoning from the SR-2 PUD Zoning District to the P-1 Zoning District (Lots 16 and 22), Granting a Special Use Permit for a Planned Unit Development, and an Extension of Time to Commence Construction of the Jacaranda PUD and Subdivision and that motion was seconded by Trustee Devne.

President Wallace asked Mr. Plonczynski for some history on this subdivision.

Mr. Plonczynski stated that years ago when the rest of the surrounding subdivisions were developed to the east and the north, there was a developer named Mr. Brown who wanted to turn his nursery into this subdivision. At that time, it was a 43 lot subdivision and essentially the school site was lotted and subdivided. The Village had a lot of deliberation on this with wetland issues, so wetland mitigation and other things came into play. Things did not go well and it ended up in court. As a result of the court decree, the Village approved the subdivision in the late 80's or early 90's. Subsequently, the school district always wanted to have an elementary school site in this quadrant of the community. The school's finance officer asked the Village if the developer would sell them this site. The developer, wanting to get remedy from his losses, sold the property to U-46 upon which it built the Hawk Hollow Elementary School. The lots surrounding the school site were set to be sold by U-46 after an auction to a developer 15 years ago or so but that developer never closed on the property. There were issues with the wetland and subsequently the school district went through the wetland mitigation and the three year period of maintaining the wetlands to the satisfaction of the County.

President Wallace stated that the school district was doing all of that with the intention of building this exact subdivision.

Mr. Plonczynski stated that about two years ago, he sat down with Greg Berna, and the school attorney and finance people and asked what they could do to develop this property. They met several times and the information before the Board this evening is the result. The Board is voting on the preliminary and final PUD plan, the extension of the timeframe to build, the rezoning of the School Site to P-1, and the Plat of Subdivision. Once this is approved, it will allow U-46 to auction the balance of its property. Several developers have expressed interest, this is a prime property. He believed it would sell fast.

President Wallace asked him to estimate how much U-46 spent preparing this property to sell to a developer?

Mr. Plonczynski stated he would estimate several hundred thousand dollars. Wetland mitigation is never an easy task and had a big price tag. A typical development of this size is easily getting up into the three quarters of a million dollars in infrastructure.



Trustee Hopkins asked if the petitioner observed the pickup and drop off at the school? Did they find any safety issues or things that could be problematic?

Greg Berna, School District Architect stated that they have a very similar layout at other schools. There is a plan for the driveway to extend out onto the west exit in the hope that the flow will be much better.

Trustee Gabrenya asked if parents will be able to have one way in and out from Gerber back to Gerber.

Mr. Berna stated that they will come out onto Winston.

Trustee Gabrenya asked him about the trend from 2001 to the current 2018, for less walking children and more driven children. Wouldn't a traffic study be different today than it was 17 years ago because more parents drive their children?

Mr. Berna stated that the trend that he has seen throughout the District parking lots is separating the buses from the cars. He felt it was meeting the trend.

Trustee Carbonaro stated that he has lived next to Centennial School for a long time. Before they put in the inlet for the school buses, if you left at 8:15 AM, it was difficult to get out because of the backup of cars on the street. If you had that temporary drives, is there room for cars and buses to get out easily?

Mr. Berna stated that the temporary drives is what is currently there. There is plenty of curb drop off on the property for buses.

Trustee Hopkins asked if the developer will be responsible for extending these roads?

Mr. Plonczynski stated "yes", they will be responsible for finishing the remaining infrastructure.

Trustee Hopkins asked if this school has outgrown capacity?

Mr. Berna stated that it is not at capacity.

Trustee Deyne asked for information on the school's site regarding safety.

Mr. Berna stated that with sidewalks on both sides tie in with the existing roads. There is a path from the north coming down which will be replaced by a sidewalk going to the school. There are sidewalks on both sides of that road and also coming from the subdivision on the east which will have sidewalks to the school as well. They have a good flow for the buses on the drop off on the passenger side so they pull right up to the



sidewalk closest to the school and drop kids off in a single line. There is a parking lot directly in front of the front door for the parents car drop off.

Attorney Mraz stated that what is unusual here is that a developer has not had all the final engineering plans approved by this point as is the case in a normal development, but here final engineering approval is a condition of the PUD approval. The school site has been built to a point, knowing the developer the School District sells the balance of the property to will have to complete the road system where shown on the PUD Plan. The developer will have to put together the letters of credit as well as a PICA to build the roads, sewers and sidewalks, etc. The sidewalks within the right-of-way will be installed by the developer as opposed to the internal sidewalks on the school site which were built per the approved site plan. Mr. Berna described how that would tie together and be an improvement over the current layout. It is a little unusual because U-46 have to go through this bidding process and it will be subject to approval of the final engineering by the Village Engineer.

Trustee Gabrenya stated that one of the residents had a question about crossing guards and she wanted to verify that it is not something we have implemented or have intention of implementing in the coming school year.

Police Chief Patrick Ullrich stated that in his proposed 2018/19 budget, crossing guards remain in the budget.

ROLL CALL VOTE TO APPROVE ORDINANCE 2018-04, PRELIMINARY/FINAL PUD, FINAL PLAT, REZONING, SPECIAL USE, EXTENSION FOR JACARANDA

AYES: Trustees Carbonaro, Deyne

NAYS: Trustees Camerer, Gabrenya, Hopkins, Reinke

ABSENT: None MOTION FAILED

Trustee Hopkins moved to send this item back to Committee.

Trustee Reinke asked what the purpose was of bringing this back to Committee, so the petitioner can amend the site plan?

Attorney Mraz stated that perhaps Trustee Hopkins is thinking that there may be a way to relate things out differently to satisfy some of the safety concerns and maybe that revised plan would be acceptable.

Since the motion failed, there should be a Motion to Reconsider combined with a Motion to send it back to Committee. There is a timeframe in which to Reconsider under Robert's



Rules of Order, otherwise they would have to start over after that with public hearings, which they would have to do in any event if there is a significant modification to the plans.

President Wallace stated that if they have a Motion to Reconsider and they do some homework on the way the configuration is now and how long the plan has been in place, he would like it brought back to the Board instead of the Committee.

Trustee Hopkins moved to send the Jacaranda subdivision back to Committee.

President Wallace stated that it would mean that they will have to make changes and go back into a lot of paperwork.

Trustee Hopkins stated that it is better than what they have right now which is a "no" vote.

Trustee Deyne stated that if the only option they have is to send it back to Committee then he seconded the motion. He did not have an objection with the plan.

ROLL CALL VOTE TO SEND ORDINANCE 2018-04, PRELIMINARY/FINAL PUD, FINAL PLAT, REZONING, SPECIAL USE, EXTENSION FOR JACARANDA BACK TO COMMITTEE FOR FURTHER REVIEW

AYES: Trustees Camerer, Carbonaro, Deyne, Gabrenya, Hopkins

NAYS: Trustee Reinke

ABSENT: None MOTION CARRIED

Mr. Plonczynski clarified that they were looking for exhibits and some design work that shows how the school bus traffic, pedestrian traffic and car traffic will flow better through the site once potentially developed.

Trustee Gabrenya stated that there is a difference with how kids get to school now versus 17 years ago and she is committed to that idea and would like to see numbers to illustrate how that trend has changed.

Attorney Mraz stated that under Roberts Rules of Order, a motion to reconsider must be made, if at all, by the next meeting, and must be made by the prevailing side, meaning by a Trustee who voted "no" on the ordinance. It could be on the agenda, that item tabled, and then the Board could adjourn to Committee to discuss it, then reconvene the Board meeting and reconsider the vote. If we could avoid making them start all over it would be advantageous to both parties, but I'm not certain the Board's concerns can be properly addressed by the School District within that tight time frame.



Trustee Reinke stated that he would like to see a more productive use for Fair Oaks Road. The current plan is not feasible with connecting two roads at that strange angle. If we are talking about vacating the dedication, why not make use of that road now and dedicate it for park purposes or something. We would also like to know from a police perspective, what are we going to do with signage, speed limits, traffic volume and how the new residents are going to get out of their house when the buses and cars are trying to come in.

### B. BUILDING COMMITTEE, CHAIRMAN GABRENYA

Trustee Gabrenya stated that Resolution 2018-05-R, a Resolution Approving of the Contract for Inspection Services Between the Village of Bartlett and Thompson Elevator Inspection Service, Inc. was covered and approved under the Consent Agenda.

#### C. FINANCE & GOLF COMMITTEE, CHAIRMAN DEYNE

Trustee Deyne stated that there was no report.

### D. LICENSE & ORDINANCE COMMITTEE, CHAIRMAN CAMERER

Trustee Camerer presented the 2018 Strategic Plan and he asked staff to give a recap.

Trustee Camerer moved to approve the 2018 Strategic Plan and that motion was seconded by Trustee Reinke.

Trustee Camerer stated as you read through the Strategic Plan and the idea of communicating with the residents of the community, he asked if this was ever published in a local newspaper for the residents to receive? He was looking for ways to educate the public on what they are doing.

Assistant Village Administrator Scott Skrycki stated that they have not published it in a newspaper historically. There are copies available at the Village Hall, Bartlett library, we will put it on our website and Facebook pages. He suggested maybe a highlight in the Bartletter to talk about the work that the Board and staff has done.

Trustee Camerer stated that he thought it was important that people don't think that they are sitting there twiddling their thumbs. The Board has plans and are trying to look to the future and do some good for the community. If we don't educate residents on what the Board is doing, they just always assume the worst.

Trustee Reinke agreed and thought they could take snippets and Tweet it and put it on Facebook in a digestible way.



Mr. Skrycki stated that is in the Strategic Plan and they will absolutely do that.

Trustee Hopkins stated that the Strategic Plan states that there should be public information on the Lake Michigan water connection. He asked how soon they can start telling residents about this and what kind of plan do they foresee.

Mr. Skrycki stated that they put together a communications committee regarding that transition. Mr. Dinges and his team has met with some administration and they have a webpage that is devoted to the transition. As more information becomes available they will push the web page out quite a bit more. He stated that they could look at an ancillary webpage as opposed to being on the Village website.

#### ROLL CALL VOTE TO APPROVE THE 2018 STRATEGIC PLAN

AYES: Trustees Camerer, Carbonaro, Deyne, Gabrenya, Hopkins, Reinke

NAYS: None ABSENT: None MOTION CARRIED

### E. POLICE & HEALTH COMMITTEE, CHAIRMAN CARBONARO

Trustee Carbonaro stated that there was no report.

#### F. PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE, CHAIRMAN REINKE

Trustee Reinke stated that there was no report.

#### 13. NEW BUSINESS

Trustee Hopkins stated that a couple of residents reached out to him regarding the chicken and bee ordinance. He asked if it could be put on a future Committee agenda and maybe amend the ordinance a little bit.

President Wallace stated that he was not interested in talking about it until it had at least been one year.

Trustee Camerer stated that he knew there were people who would like to have the zoning changed but he thought they should give it some time to make sure that everything works out and if there any complaints. He completely understands and he was a big promoter of making it open to anyone that wanted to do it. He felt that they should give it some time.



Trustee Hopkins stated that he has a resident that missed it by 20 square feet. Part of the ordinance states that they can't go to the Zoning Board of Appeals to get that appealed. He thought there were very minor changes that could be implemented that would help some residents out.

Trustee Camerer stated that there may be several people that missed it by 20 square feet and where do you draw the line? A part of him agrees but he feels like we should give this time before we make changes.

Trustee Hopkins agreed what he was saying but he thought opening it up to all single-family lots is something we should do, not multifamily homes. As long as your backyard meets the requirements for the chickens and the coop, that we have set forth, then you should be allowed to have them.

Trustee Camerer stated that he doesn't disagree with that but would like to go through a preliminary time as a trial to see if it would work, if there were any complaints, if people liked it. If there are no issues then he would see no reason not to allow all residents to have this choice.

President Wallace stated that he felt strongly about just watching this issue for one year.

Trustee Hopkins stated that they have had no complaints.

Mr. Plonczynski stated that they issued four chicken licenses. All lots were over the 8,000 square feet and they met the requirements of the size of the coop and there have not been any complaints. The first license was issued on April 3 of 2017 and the last was issued in June.

Trustee Reinke stated that he felt they should wait a little while and it made sense to him to permit people to seek a variance.

President Wallace stated that he would make a commitment to look at that on the second meeting of March.

Trustee Hopkins thanked Public Works for the great job plowing the streets.

- 14. QUESTION/ANSWER: PRESIDENT & TRUSTEES None
- 15. ADJOURNMENT

President Wallace stated that upon adjournment of this meeting, the Board will be moving into the Committee of the Whole meeting.



There being no further business to discuss, Trustee Camerer moved to adjourn the regular Board meeting and that motion was seconded by Trustee Carbonaro.

### **ROLL CALL VOTE TO ADJOURN**

AYES: Trustees Camerer, Carbonaro, Deyne, Gabrenya, Hopkins, Reinke

NAYS: None ABSENT: None MOTION CARRIED

The meeting was adjourned at 8:10 p.m.

Lorna Giless Village Clerk